


Trying a Prophecy about SrrTrains

"Base Module of the SRR Framework" will become "SMUOS Framework A"
 "SMUOS Framework A" will be replaced by "SMUOS Framework B"
 "Other Input" will be used to create "SMUOS Framework B",
 in addition to input from "SMUOS Framework A"
 "SMUOS C" (X3D Component) will be defined and used in "SMS Biotope"
 Many applications will evolve in SMS Biotope, eventually leading to DIGITS
 One of these applications will be SrrTrains v1.0



- SrrTrains v0.01.....<http://letztersein.wordpress.com/srrtrains-v0-01>
- SP-ARK (preliminary homepage).....<https://areasharpa.wordpress.com/spark>
- SMS Biotope (Working Title).....to be defined
- SrrTrains v1.0.....to be defined

Meta User Stories (MUSE)

Projekt	Status	Meta User
1. Mixed Reality Groupcall (MrGc)	DONE	ME
Here I used leisure time to elaborate concepts for my employer. My goal was to improve my situation and to earn more money.		
2. Narratives "The third child"	CLOSED	SOCIETY
The ideas SMS and DIGITS shall be brought to the society (serving the good of mankind)		
3. SrrTrains	CLOSED	RAILWAY-ADDICTs
Technology of "railway simulation" shall be broadened towards open standards (ISO, IETF). A side effect is the elaboration of the "lower layers" SMS (and DIGITS).		
4. New Interactive Learning (N.I.L.) / DIGITS	OPEN	To be defined
To be defined		
6. Simple Playground to Achieve Required Knowledge	DOESN'T MATTER	MILITARY
SP-ARK is an "ARK", in order to bring "the Use Case" to the "IETF Community"		
7. Packet Switched – Acting Really via Kibernetik Systems	DOESN'T MATTER	MILITARY
PS-ARK is an "ARK", in order to bring "the Use Case" to the "Telecom Community"		

"Plan A" vs. "Plan B"

Man is naturally impatient.

The "Plan A" is thus to finish quickly. If that does not work for some reason, you can still be patient (this is "Plan B").

SMS Biotope	PLAN B
Netw. Sens. (stand.)	
Netw. Sens. (prop.)	PLAN A
DIS	

The "DIS component" and the proprietary network sensor from Bitmanagement Ges.m.b.H. are more or less available IMMEDIATELY. PLAN A would therefore be appropriate if my employer needs a solution "fairly quickly" (i.e. within a few years).

Until the Network Sensor is truly standardized, or until there is such a thing as an SMS biotope, many years can go by. So if I want to keep working on this topic and PLAN A is not working, then I have to think about whether I follow PLAN B or if I just let it go.

Another Interpretation

It's also possible to interpret "PLAN A vs. PLAN B" like "closed technology vs. open technology".

Those, who are impatient, because they need a solution quickly (e.g. at the military) have to follow Plan A.

However, it may turn out that Plan A will be replaced later by a Plan B, which might be later available, but which might be more "mighty".

Plan A could therefore be interpreted as an "approach of first order" (where we could e.g. neglect the effects of theory of relativity), where Plan B could be interpreted as "final solution", where we will consider the theory of relativity in it's full implications.